arXiv:hep-ph/0401069v1 11 Jan 2004

Abstract

We study the CP-violating asymmetry in nonleptonic decay $\Lambda \to p\pi$. By employing the Skyrme model to calculate this decay amplitude contributed by the gluonic diploe operator, we find a possible large CP-violating asymmetry could be expected, which is consistent with the previous study.

11.30.Er, 12.60.Jv, 13.30.Eg, 14.20.Jn

Typeset using REVTEX

CP-violating asymmetry in $\Lambda \to p\pi$ in the Skyrme model

Ji-Hao Jiang * and Mu-Lin Yan †

Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026 China

Hyperon decays [1–3] play an important role in both studying the CP-violating phenomena in particle physics and searching for new physics beyond the standard model. The CP-violating asymmetry in decay $\Lambda \to p\pi$ has been investigated in Ref. [1,2], and the asymmetries $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ in $\Lambda \to p\pi$ have a simply form when the small $\Delta I = 3/2$ amplitude is neglected [4]

$$A(\Lambda^0_-) = \frac{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}} \approx -\tan(\delta_p - \delta_s)\sin(\phi_p - \phi_s), \tag{1}$$

where α is hyperon decays parameter of $\Lambda^0_- \to p\pi^-$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ corresponds to $\bar{\Lambda^0}_- \to \bar{p}\pi^+$, $\delta_s = 6^o$ and $\delta_p = -1.1^o$ are the final state πN strong interaction phases for S and P wave amplitudes with $\Delta I = 1/2$ respectively [5], and $\phi_{s,p}$ are the corresponding $CP-$violating weak phases. The standard model prediction for $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ is around 3×10^{-5}, and the recent study in Ref. [2] shows

$$-3 \times 10^{-5} \leq A(\Lambda^0_-) \leq 4 \times 10^{-5}. \tag{2}$$

A model independent study of new $CP-$violating interactions has shown that $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ could be larger than that predicted within the standard model [6]. An example of an operator is precisely the gluonic dipole operator [1,6], in which $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ would be enhanced and could reach around $O(10^{-3})$ [1].

---

*jjh@mail.ustc.edu.cn

†mlyan@ustc.edu.cn

The short-distance effective Hamiltonian for the gluonic dipole operator is [7]

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = C_g^+ Q_g^+ + C_g^- Q_g^- + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$Q_g^\pm = \frac{g}{16\pi^2}(\bar{s}_\mathrm{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}t^a G^a_{\mu\nu} d_\mathrm{R} \pm \bar{s}_\mathrm{R}\sigma^{\mu\nu}t^a G^a_{\mu\nu} d_\mathrm{L}), \tag{4}$$

and in supersymmetric model the dominant contribution to Wilson coefficients generated by gluino exchange diagrams [7,8] are given by

$$C_g^\pm = \frac{\pi\alpha_s(m_{\tilde{g}})}{m_{\tilde{g}}}[(\delta^d_{LR})_{21} \pm (\delta^d_{LR})^*_{12}]G_0(x). \tag{5}$$

Here $(\delta^d_\mathrm{LR})_{ij} = (M_d^2)_{i_L j_R}/m^2_{\tilde{q}}$ denote the off-diagonal entries of the (down-type) squark mass matrix in super-CKM basis [8,9] and $x = m^2_{\tilde{g}}/m^2_{\tilde{q}}$ the ratio of gluino and squark mass squared. The loop function $G_0(x)$ is [10]

$$G_0(x) = x\frac{22 - 20x - 2x^2 + (16x - x^2 + 9)\log x}{3(x-1)^4}. \tag{6}$$

Note that $G_0(1) = -5/18$ and the function does not depend strongly on x.

The CP-violating asymmetry $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ generated by the gluonic dipole operator has been calculated in Ref. [1], and a large enhancement of the asymmetry could be expected in the supersymmetric extensions of the standard model. It is known that models are necessary for evaluating the hadronic matrix elements $< p\pi|Q_g^\pm|\Lambda >$ to predict the asymmetry $A(\Lambda^0_-)$. The MIT bag model was used for this task in Ref. [1]. The purpose of this letter is to recalculate the hadronic matrix elements $< p\pi|Q_g^\pm|\Lambda >$ by using the Skyrme model, then to evaluate the asymmetry $A(\Lambda^0_-)$, in order somewhat to complement the work by the authors of Ref. [1].

Generally the $SU(3)$ extended Skyrme Lagrangian is [11,12]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = {}& \frac{1}{16}f_\pi^2\mathrm{Tr}(\partial_\mu U\partial^\mu U) + \frac{1}{32e^2}\mathrm{Tr}([\partial_\mu U U^\dagger, \partial_\nu U U^\dagger]^2) \\ &+ \frac{f_\pi^2}{16}m^2\mathrm{Tr}(U + U^\dagger - 2) - \frac{f_\pi^2}{8\sqrt{3}}\Delta m^2\mathrm{Tr}(\lambda_8(U + U^\dagger))\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $U = \exp(i2\lambda_i\phi_i/F_\pi)$, ϕ_i are octet pseudoscalar fields; and $m^2 = (3m_\pi^2 + 4m_K^2 + m_\eta^2)/8$, $\Delta m^2 = m_K^2 - m_\pi^2$. The third and fourth term in eq.(7) describe the chiral symmetry breaking and the SU(3) flavor symmetry breaking respectively. The model has only one free parameter, namely, the Skyrme parameter e, which could be fixed phenomenologically. In the Skyrme model, baryon fields emerge as topological soliton in the pseudoscalar meson fields theory [11,12], and the space-time-dependent matrix field $U_B(\vec{r},t) \in SU(3)$ takes the form

$$U_B(\vec{r},t) = A(t)U_0(\vec{r})A^\dagger(t) \tag{8}$$

where $U_0(\vec{r})$ is the SU(3) matrix, and A is arbitrary time-dependent $SU(3)$ matrix. Using hedgehog ansatz [11,12], we have

$$U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} e^{iF(r)\vec{r}\cdot\tau} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(F) + i\vec{r}\cdot\tau\sin(F) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where the profile function $F(r)$ is chiral angle that parameterizes the solution, which satisfies the following equation of motion

$$(\frac{x^2}{4} + 2S^2)F'' + \frac{xF'}{2} + 2SC(F'^2 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{S^2}{x^2}) - \frac{1}{4e^2 f_\pi^2}(m^2 - \frac{2}{3}\Delta m^2)x^2 S = 0, \tag{10}$$

with the boundary conditions $F(0) = \pi$, $F(\infty) = 0$, where $C = \cos(F)$, $S = \sin(F)$ and $x = f_\pi r$. The last term of the equation of motion (10) responds to the SU(3) symmetry breaking due to $m_K \neq m_\pi$ (or $m_s \neq (m_u,\ m_d)$) at the classical soliton solution level. In this letter, only this sort of SU(3) symmetry breaking effects is taken into account, and one's at the soliton's semiclassical quantization level will be ignored (see below).

Considering pion fluctuations on a classical solution, we define the pion as chiral perturbations about U_B [13]

$$U = U_\pi U_B(\vec{r},t)U_\pi \tag{11}$$

where

$$U_\pi = \exp(i\lambda_i \cdot \pi_i / f_\pi), \tag{12}$$

and $\pi_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, is the pion field. Thus by expanding Eq.(12), one can calculate the amplitude of hyperon non-leptonic decay amplitude. In this letter, we will use "semiclassical" approximation associated with the fact that solitons are slowly rotating. Within this approach, we do not need consider all time derivative times.

The realization of $Q_g^\pm$ in terms of meson fields, to the leading order in $1/N_c$ and in the derivative (or $p-$)expansion, can be written as [14]

$$Q_g^\pm = \frac{11}{256\pi^2}\frac{f_\pi^2 m_K^2}{m_s + m_d} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[(\lambda_6 - i\lambda_7)(U\partial_\mu U^\dagger \partial^\mu U \pm \partial_\mu U^\dagger \partial^\mu U U^\dagger)]. \tag{13}$$

Since the transfer momentum p for $\Lambda \to p\pi$ is about $m_\Lambda - m_p - m_\pi \sim 0.035GeV$, which is much smaller than the chiral symmetry spontaneously breaking scale $\Lambda_\chi \simeq 2\pi f_\pi \simeq 1.2GeV$, the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$-contributions of $Q_g^\pm$ to the decay $\Lambda \to p\pi$ are dominant and the corrections of $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$'s should be relatively small and ignorable at this stage. In other words, as an approximation expression of $Q_g^\pm$, Eq.(13) is good enough for our purpose. Note that the overall factor of Eq.(13), which cannot be fixed model-independently, is obtained by using chiral quark model [16].

Since there is only one π meson in the matrix element $< p\pi^- | \mathcal{H}_{eff} | \Lambda^0 >$, we only consider the term with one π term in $Q_g^\pm$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_g^\pm = & -\frac{i\pi_j}{2f_\pi}\frac{11}{256\pi^2}\frac{f_\pi^2 m_K^2}{m_s + m_d} \\
& \times \mathrm{Tr}\{(\lambda_j \lambda_{6-i7} + \lambda_{6-i7}\lambda_j)(\partial_i U_B \partial^i U_B^\dagger U_B \mp U_B \partial_i U_B^\dagger \partial^i U_B)\} \\
& -\frac{i\partial_i \pi_j}{2f_\pi}\frac{11}{256\pi^2}\frac{f_\pi^2 m_k^2}{m_s + m_d} \\
& \times \mathrm{Tr}\{\lambda_{6-i7}(-\lambda_j \partial^i U_B^\dagger - \partial^i U_B \lambda_j - \partial^i U_B^\dagger U_B \lambda_j U_B^\dagger + U_B^\dagger \lambda_j \partial^i U_B U_B^\dagger) \\
& \quad \pm \lambda_{6-i7}(\lambda_j \partial^i U_B + \partial^i U_B \lambda_j + U_B \lambda_j U_B^\dagger \partial^i U_B - U_B \partial^i U_B^\dagger \lambda_j U_B)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where notations $\lambda_{6-i7} \equiv \lambda_6 - i\lambda_7$ etc. have been used. Then it is straightforward to get the corresponding S-wave and P-wave amplitudes as follows:

$$s = -\frac{11}{256\pi^2} m_{\tilde g} \frac{f_\pi^2 m_K^2}{m_s + m_d} C_g^{-*} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{f_\pi} D_{4+i5,8} I, \tag{15}$$

$$p=\frac{11}{256\pi^2}m_{\tilde{g}}\frac{f_\pi^2m_K^2}{m_s+m_d}|\vec{q}|\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{f_\pi}C_g^{+*}\{D_{4+i5,3}I_1-(D_{4+i5,3}(D_{3,8}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D_{8,8})+ D_{4+i5,8}(D_{3,3}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D_{8,3}))I_2+D_{4+i5,j}(D_{3,n}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D_{8,n})i\epsilon_{3jn}I_3\}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2}Tr[\lambda_a A\lambda_b A^\dagger], \\ D_{4+i5,b} &= D_{4,b}+iD_{5,b}, \\ I &= \int d^3x\frac{C}{\sqrt{3}}(\frac{2S^2}{r^2}+F'^2), \\ I_1 &= \int d^3x(\frac{1}{3}(\frac{2SC}{r}+CF')+\frac{2C+1}{9}(\frac{2SC}{r}+F')), \\ I_2 &= \int d^3x\frac{C-1}{3\sqrt{3}}(\frac{2SC}{r}+F'), \\ I_3 &= \int d^3x\frac{2S^3}{3r}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and $j,n=1,2,3$. Some hadronic matrix elements of D-function that will be used in below read

$$\langle p\downarrow|D_{4+i5,8}|\Lambda\downarrow\rangle=-\frac{2}{5},\quad \langle p\downarrow|D_{4+i5,3}|\Lambda\downarrow\rangle=-\frac{2\sqrt{6}}{15} \quad (18)$$

$$\langle\, p\downarrow|D_{4+i5,3}(D_{3,8}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D_{8,8})|\Lambda\downarrow\,\rangle=-\frac{2}{75}, \quad (19)$$

$$\langle\, p\downarrow|D_{4+i5,8}(D_{3,3}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D_{8,3})|\Lambda\downarrow\,\rangle=-\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{100} \quad (20)$$

$$i\epsilon_{3jn}\langle\, p\downarrow|D_{4+i5,j}(D_{3,n}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D_{8,n})|\Lambda\downarrow\,\rangle=-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{50}. \quad (21)$$

In the derivations of the above quantities, we have taken SU(3)-$D_{\mu,\nu}$-functions to be the baryon wave functions. This implies that the SU(3)-symmetry breaking effects at the soliton's semiclassical quantization level are not taken into account at this stage. To a further estimation to corrections due to SU(3)-asymmetric wave functions of baryons, one could employ the Yabu-Ando's method [15] to do so, which however exceeds the contents of this present letter. In this letter we only take the SU(3)-symmetry breaking effects due to classical soliton equation eq.(10) into account.

Since the Wilson coefficients $C_g^{\pm}$ could be complex, it is easy to extract the imaginary part amplitudes of the decay from Eqs.(15) and (16). By taking the real part of the amplitudes from experimental data, $s_{\rm expt} = 3.3 \times 10^{-7}, p_{\rm expt} = 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ [17], and using $m_s + m_d = 0.110 GeV, m_{\tilde{g}} \sim 500 GeV$ [1,18], $m_K = 0.495 GeV$, we get the phase of S-wave and P-wave as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_s &= (3.3 \times 10^{-7})^{-1} \cdot (-6.68 \times 10^{-6}) \times {\rm Im}[(\delta^d_{RL})_{12} - (\delta^d_{LR})_{12}], \\
\phi_p &= (1.2 \times 10^{-7})^{-1} \cdot (3.82 \times 10^{-6}) \times {\rm Im}[(\delta^d_{RL})_{12} + (\delta^d_{LR})_{12}]. \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we find

$$A(\Lambda^0_-) = 6.2{\rm Im}(\delta^d_{RL})_{12} + 1.4{\rm Im}(\delta^d_{LR})_{12}. \qquad (23)$$

The result in Ref. [1] is

$$A(\Lambda^0_-) = (2.0B_p + 1.7B_s){\rm Im}(\delta^d_{RL})_{12} + (2.0B_p - 1.7B_s){\rm Im}(\delta^d_{LR})_{12}. \qquad (24)$$

It is shown in Ref. [1] that B_p and B_s quantify the uncertainty in the matrix elements $< p\pi|Q^\pm_g|\Lambda >$ with $0.5 < B_s < 2.0, 0.7B_s < B_p < 1.3B_s$, and $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ could be range of $O(10^{-3})$ without conflict with other constraints. Consider the range of B_s and B_p, it is not difficult to see that our result [Eq.(23)] is consistent with theirs [Eq.(24)]. Using the similar analysis, we can therefore expect that $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ could also be $O(10^{-3})$ in the present letter.

In conclusion, we have restudied the supersymmetric contribution to the CP-violating asymmetry in hyperon non-leptonic decay $\Lambda \to p\pi$. Different from Ref. [1], in order to predict the asymmetry $A(\Lambda^0_-)$, we use the Skyrme model to calculate the hadronic matrix elements $< p\pi|Q^\pm_g|\Lambda >$. It is found that the result is consistent with that given in Ref. [1], and $A(\Lambda^0_-)$ could be range of $O(10^{-3})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dao-Neng Gao for useful discussions. This work is partially supported by NSF of China 90103002.

REFERENCES

[1] X. G. He, H. Murayama, S. Pakvasa and G. Valencia 2000 Phys. Rev. D **61**, 071701; X. G. He 2001 arXiv:hep-ph/0108164.

[2] J. Tandean and G. Valencia 2003 Phys. Rev. D **67** 056001.

[3] C. H. Chen 2001 Phys. Lett. B **521** 315;X. G. He 2001 Nucl. Phys. A **684** 710.

[4] J. F. Donoghue and S. Pakvasa, 1985 Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** 162; J. F. Donoghue, X. G. He and S. Pakvasa 1986 Phys. Rev. D **34** 833.

[5] L. D. Roper, R. M. Wright, and F. Feld 1965 Phys. Rev. **138** 190; A. Datta and S. Pakvasa 1997 Phys. Rev. D **56** 4322.

[6] X. G. He and G. Valencia 1995 Phys. Rev. D **52** 5257.

[7] A. J. Buras, G. Colangelo, G. Isidori, A. Romanino and L. Silvestrini 2000 Nucl. Phys. B **566** 3.

[8] F. Gabbiani, E. Gabrielli, A. Masiero and L. Silvestrini 1996 Nucl. Phys. B **477** 321.

[9] L. J. Hall, V. A. Kostelecky and S. Raby 1986 Nucl. Phys. B **267** 415.

[10] G. Colangelo and G. Isidori 1988 JHEP **9809** 009; A. J. Buras, G. Colangelo, G. Isidori, A. Romanino and L. Silvestrini 2000 Nucl. Phys. B **566** 3; G. Colangelo, G. Isidori and J. Portoles 1999 Phys. Lett. B **470** 134.

[11] T.H.R. Skyrme 1961 Proc. Roy. Soc. A **206** 127; G.S. Adkins, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten 1983 Nucl. Phys. B **228** 552; E. Guadagnini 1984 Nucl. Phys. B **236** 35;H. Yabu and K. Ando 1988 Nucl. Phys. B **301** 601; J. Schechter and H. Weigel 1999 arXiv:hep-ph/9907554; S. T. Hong and Y. J. Park 20001Phys. Rev. D **63** 054018; G. Duplancic, H. Pasagic, M. Praszalowicz and J. Trampetic 2001 Phys. Rev. D **64** 097502.

[12] H. Weigel 1996 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **11** 2419 (1996); J. Trampetic 1984 Phys. Lett. B **144** 250; M. Praszalowicz and J. Trampeić 1985 Phys. Lett B**161** 169.

[13] H.J. Schnitzer 1984 Phys. Lett. B **139** 217.

[14] G. D'Ambrosio, G. Isidori and G. Martinelli 2000 Phys. Lett. B **480** 164.

[15] H. Yabu and K. Ando 1988 Nucl. Phys. B **301** 601;

[16] S. Bertolini, J. O. Eeg and M. Fabbrichesi 1995 Nucl. Phys. B **449** 197.

[17] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.* 2002 Phys. Rev. D **66** 010001.

[18] M. Ciuchini *et al.* 1998 JHEP **10** 008.